

## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/990,195	12/12/97	FAN			J	
Γ		OM1	0/0E00	コ	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.					MOY,J	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-0903		ana.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEH OLIO	MM 33402 0	703	•		3727	32
					DATE MAILED:	/ 05/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	FAN	, .	
Office Action Summary	Examiner —	L	Group Art Unit	<del>,</del>	
	J.M	of -	3727		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence a	ddress	
Period for Reply	,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimiprie SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) n the mailing dat	days will be conside	red timely. tion .	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/0	1/00			•	
A This action is FINAL.	/				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	r formal matters, <b>pros</b> c C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clo	osed in	
Disposition of Claims				3	
Claim(s) 8, 10, 11, 15, 20		is/are	pending in the ap	plication.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.		
(S) (3,11,13)	20	is/are	rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)					
Application Papers		require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> </ul>					
received.					
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>					
*Certified copies not received:			11		
Attachment(s)		***************************************			
ALIQUINITING)					

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

**Office Action Summary** 

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ Other\_\_

Serial Number: 08/990,195

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8,10,15 and 20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schackelford (3,611,633).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schackelford in view of Anderson (4,442,629). It would have been obvious to provide the base portion of the pot of Schackelford with a plurality of holes as taught by Anderson in order to enhance the draining process.

Claims 8,10,11 and 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The "single-piece pot" constitutes new matter as it is not supported by the original specification.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8,10,11,15 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group at (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Joseph M. Moy Primary Examiner

Date: 05/18/00